



*Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 24, No. 2, October 2014, pp.78-84*  
*ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRs Publication, 2014*  
*www.i-csrs.org*  
*Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>*

## Minimality Conditions on $\Pi_k^e$ -Connectedness in Graphs

B. Chaluvraju<sup>1</sup>, N. Manjunath<sup>2</sup> and K.M. Yogeesh<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Studies and Research in Mathematics  
Tumkur University, B.H. Road, Tumkur-572103, India  
E-mail: bchaluvraju@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bangalore University  
Central College Campus, Bangalore-560001, India  
E-mail: manjubub@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Government First Grade College  
Dental College Road, Davanagere-577004, India  
E-mail: yogeeshadv@gmail.com

(Received: 18-6-14 / Accepted: 21-7-14)

### Abstract

*Let  $k$  be a positive integer. A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is said to be  $\Pi_k^e$  - connected if for any given edge subset  $F$  of  $E(G)$  with  $|F| = k$ , the subgraph induced by  $F$  is connected. In this paper, we explore the minimality conditions on  $\Pi_k^e$ -connectedness of a graph and also its properties of prism and corona graphs are obtained.*

**Keywords:** *Graph, subgraph, prism graph, corona graph,  $\Pi_k^e$  - connected graph.*

## 1 Introduction

In this article, we consider finite, undirected, simple and connected graphs  $G = (V, E)$  with vertex set  $V$  and edge set  $E$ . As such  $p = |V|$  and  $q = |E|$  denote the number of vertices and edges of a graph  $G$ , respectively. An edge - induced subgraph is a subset of the edges of a graph  $G$  together with any vertices that are their endpoints. In general, we use  $\langle X \rangle$  to denote the subgraph induced by the set of edges  $X \subseteq E$ . A graph  $G$  is connected if it has a  $u - v$  path whenever  $u, v \in V(G)$  (otherwise,  $G$  is disconnected). A graph with no cycle is acyclic. A tree  $T$  is a connected acyclic graph. An edge - independent

set in a graph is a set of pairwise nonadjacent edges. A cut-edge or cut-vertex of a graph  $G$  is an edge or a vertex whose deletion increases the number of components. Difference between two sets  $A$  and  $B$  consists of the elements of  $A$  that are not in  $B$  and is denoted by  $A \setminus B$ . Unless mentioned otherwise, for terminology and notation the reader may refer Harary [8] and Bondy et.al. [3].

The concept of  $\Pi_k$  - connectedness was suggested by Sampathkumar [10] and [11], and studied by Chaluvvaraju et al. [4] in the following manner. For any positive integer  $k$ . A graph  $G$  is said to be  $\Pi_k$  - connected if for any given subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$  with  $|S| = k$ , the subgraph induced by  $S$  is connected.

Here, we shall introduce an edge analogue of this concept as follows: A graph  $G$  is said to be  $\Pi_k^e$  - connected if for any given edge subset  $F$  of  $E(G)$  with  $|F| = k$ , the subgraph induced by  $F$  is connected. A  $\Pi_k^e$  - connected graph  $G$  is said to be edge minimal  $\Pi_k^e$  - connected if the graph  $G$  is not  $\Pi_{k-1}^e$  - connected. Let  $G$  be a nontrivial graph. Then a generalized vertex (edge)induced connected subsets of a graph is denoted as  $\Pi_k(G)(\Pi_k^e(G))$ . For more details on related concepts, we refer [1], [2], [5], [9] and [12].

## 2 $\Pi_k^e$ - Connectedness

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $s$  and  $t$  be a positive integer. If the graph  $G$  is not  $\Pi_t^e$  - connected graph, then it is also not  $\Pi_s^e$  - connected graph, where  $2 \leq s \leq t$ .*

**Proof.** Let the graph  $G$  be not a  $\Pi_t^e$  - connected graph, then there exists  $t$ -edges whose edge induced subgraph, say  $F_1$  is disconnected. Let  $F_2$ ,  $2 \leq |F_2| \leq t$  be set of edges formed by taking at least one edge from each component of  $F_1$ . Clearly the subgraph induced by  $F_2$  is also disconnected. Hence  $G$  is not  $\Pi_s^e$  - connected graph, where  $2 \leq s \leq t$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *For any connected graph  $G$  is an edge minimal  $\Pi_q^e$  - connected graph if and only if it has a cut edge.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be an edge minimal  $\Pi_q^e$  - connected graph then there exists an edge  $e$  such that the subgraph induced by  $E(G) \setminus \{e\}$  is disconnected. Hence  $e$  is a cut edge of a graph  $G$ . Conversely, if the graph  $G$  has a cut edge  $e$ , then the subgraph induced by  $E(G) \setminus \{e\}$  is disconnected and the graph on  $q$ - edges is connected. Hence the graph  $G$  is an edge minimal  $\Pi_q^e$  - connected graph.

**Theorem 2.3** *For any tree  $T$  with  $p \geq 3$  vertices is an edge minimal  $\Pi_{p-1}^e$  - connected graph.*

**Proof.** Let  $T$  be any tree with  $p \geq 3$  vertices. Since the total number of edges in  $T$  is  $p - 1$ , the graph induced by  $p - 1$  edges is isomorphic to  $T$  and hence

connected. Let  $e$  be an edge whose end vertices have degree greater than one, then the subgraph induced by  $E(T) \setminus \{e\}$  is disconnected. Hence the tree  $T$  with  $p \geq 3$  vertices is an edge minimal  $\Pi_{p-1}^e$  - connected graph.

**Theorem 2.4** *For any Complete graph  $K_p$  with  $p \geq 4$  vertices is an edge minimal  $\Pi_k^e$  - connected graph, where  $k = \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{2} + 2$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $K_p$  be a complete graph with  $p \geq 4$  vertices. The maximum number of independent edges of a complete graph  $K_p$  is  $\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor$ . There fore only disconnected edge induced subgraph of  $K_p$  with maximum number of vertices is  $K_2 \cup K_{p-2}$ . Hence  $K_p$  is not  $\Pi_{k-1}^e$  - connected graph, where  $k = \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{2} + 2$ . Addition of any edge makes the subgraph  $K_2 \cup K_{p-2}$  is connected. Hence the complete graph  $K_p$  with  $p \geq 4$  vertices is an edge minimal  $\Pi_k^e$  - connected graph, where  $k = \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{2} + 2$ .

By the above two results, we have the following Theorem.

**Theorem 2.5** *Let  $\Pi_k^e(G)$  be an edge minimal  $\Pi_k^e$ - connected graph of a  $(p, q)$ -graph. Then*

$$q \leq \Pi_k^e(G) \leq \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{2} + 2.$$

**Theorem 2.6** *For any Cycle  $C_p$  with  $p \geq 4$  vertices is an edge minimal  $\Pi_{p-1}^e$  - connected graph.*

**Proof.** Let  $C_p$  be any cycle on  $p \geq 4$  vertices and  $e$  be any edge in  $C_p$ . The subgraph induced by  $E(C_p) \setminus \{e\}$  is connected. Since an edge  $e$  is arbitrarily chosen, the cycle  $C_p$  with  $p \geq 4$  vertices is  $\Pi_{p-1}^e$  - connected graph. Now we prove  $C_p$  is an edge minimal  $\Pi_{p-1}^e$  - connected graph, i.e.,  $C_p$  is not  $\Pi_{p-2}^e$  - connected graph. Let  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  be two independent edges in  $C_p$ . The subgraph induced by  $E(C_p) \setminus \{e_1, e_2\}$  is disconnected. Hence  $C_p$  with  $p \geq 4$  vertices is an edge minimal  $\Pi_{p-1}^e$  - connected graph.

### 3 $\Pi_k^e$ - Connectedness in Prism Graphs

The prism of a graph  $G$  is defined as the cartesian product  $G \times K_2$ . For more details, we refer [7].

**Theorem 3.1** *For any Prism  $C_p^*$  of a cycle  $C_p$  with  $p \geq 5$  vertices is  $\Pi_{3p-3}^e$  - connected graph.*

**Proof.** Let  $C_p$  be a cycle with  $p \geq 5$  vertices and  $C_p^*$  be its prism. Let  $C_p^1$  and  $C_p^2$  be two copies of  $C_p$  in the prism. We have to prove the subgraph induced by any set of  $(3p - 3)$ - edges is connected. The total number of edges in  $C_p^*$  is  $3p$ . Let  $E(C_p^*)$  be the set of edges in  $C_p^*$  and  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  be any three edges in  $E(C_p^*)$ . Instead of proving the subgraph induced by any set of  $3p - 3$  edges is connected, we prove the equivalent statement the subgraph induced by  $E(C_p^*) \setminus \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is connected for every set of three edges. Hence the following cases arise depending on the selection of edges in  $E(C_p^*)$ .

**Case 1.** Let  $e_1 \in C_1$  and  $e_2, e_3 \in C_2$ . Then again we have the following two subcases,

**Subcase 1.1.** Let  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  are consecutive edges. Then both the subgraphs  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  induced by  $E(C_1) \setminus \{e_1\}$  and  $E(C_2) \setminus \{e_2, e_3\}$ , respectively are connected. Now by adding all the edges between  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  from the  $C_p^*$ , we get a connected graph induced by  $E(C_p^*) \setminus \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ .

**Subcase 1.2.** Let  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  are nonconsecutive edges. Then the subgraph induced by  $E(C_1) \setminus \{e_1\}$  is connected and the subgraph induced by  $E(C_2) \setminus \{e_2, e_3\}$  is disconnected. But the edges between  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  from the  $C_p^*$  makes the subgraph induced by  $E(C_p^*) \setminus \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  connected.

**Case 2.** Let  $e_1, e_2 \in C_1$  and  $e_3 \in C_2$ . Proof follows on similar lines as in Case 1.

**Case 3.** Let all three edges  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$  are in the first copy of  $C_p$  in the prism  $C_p^*$ . Then the subgraph induced by  $E(C_1) \setminus \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  may be connected or disconnected. If the subgraph induced by  $E(C_1) \setminus \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is connected, then clearly the subgraph induced by  $E(C_p^*) \setminus \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is connected. If the subgraph induced by  $E(C_1) \setminus \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is disconnected having two or three components then the edges between each of these components and  $C_2$  from the prism  $C_p^*$  makes the subgraph induced by  $E(C_p^*) \setminus \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  connected.

**Case 4.** Let all three edges  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$  are in the second copy of  $C_p$  in the prism  $C_p^*$ . Proof of this case follows on the similar lines as in Case 3.

**Case 5.** Let  $e_1, e_2 \in C_1$  and  $e_3$  belongs to the set of edges between the two copies of  $C_p$  in the prism. The subgraph  $H_1$  induced by  $E(C_1) \setminus \{e_1, e_2\}$  may be connected or disconnected depending on the edges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are consecutive or not. As seen before, the subgraph induced by  $E(C_p^*) \setminus \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  connected, since  $p \geq 5$ , there exists edges between the two copies of  $C_p$  even after removal of  $e_3$ , connecting each component of  $H_1$  with the second copy of  $C_p$  in the

prism. Hence the subgraph induced by  $E(C_p^*) \setminus \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is connected.

Similarly we can prove the remaining cases as follows.

**Case 6.**  $e_1 \in C_1$  and  $e_2, e_3$  belongs to the set of edges between the two copies of  $C_p$  in the prism.

**Case 7.** All three edges  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$  are in the set of edges between the two copies of  $C_p$  in the prism.

**Case 8.**  $e_1, e_2 \in C_2$  and  $e_3$  belongs to the set of edges between the two copies of  $C_p$  in the prism.

**Case 9.**  $e_1 \in C_2$  and  $e_2, e_3$  belongs to the set of edges between the two copies of  $C_p$  in the prism.

Hence the result follows.

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $T$  be a nontrivial tree. Then Prism  $T^*$  of  $T$  is an edge minimal  $\Pi_{3q}^e$  - connected graph.*

**Proof.** Let  $T$  be any nontrivial tree and  $T^*$  be its prism. The number of edges in  $T^*$  is equal to the number of edges in the first copy of a tree  $T$  + the number of edges in the second copy of a tree  $T$  + the number of edges between these two copies of a tree  $T$ , i.e.,  $|E(T^*)| = 2q + p = 3q + 1$ . First, we prove  $T^*$  is not  $\Pi_{3q-1}^e$  - connected graph. Let  $e$  be an edge in the first copy of a tree  $T$  in its prism  $T^*$  and  $f(e)$  in the second copy of  $T$  in  $T^*$  be the mirror image of  $e$ . The subgraph induced by  $E(T^*) \setminus \{e, f(e)\}$  is disconnected, since  $e$  is a bridge in the first copy of a tree  $T$  and  $f(e)$  is a bridge in the second copy of a tree  $T$  in the prism  $T^*$ . Hence there exist a set  $E(T^*) \setminus \{e, f(e)\}$  of  $3q - 1$  edges whose induced subgraph is disconnected. Hence  $T^*$  is not  $\Pi_{3q-1}^e$  - connected graph. The subgraph induced by  $E(T^*) \setminus \{e\}$  is connected for all  $e$  in the first copy or second copy of a tree  $T$ . Now suppose  $e \in E(T_1 - T_2)$ , where  $E(T_1 - T_2)$  is the set of all edges between the two copies of a tree  $T$  in the prism  $T^*$ , clearly in this case also the subgraph induced by  $E(T^*) \setminus \{e\}$  is connected for all  $e$  in the  $E(T_1 - T_2)$ . Hence the prism  $T^*$  of any nontrivial tree  $T$  is an edge minimal  $\Pi_{3q}^e$  - connected graph.

## 4 $\Pi_k^e$ - Connectedness in Corona Graphs

The corona  $G_1 \circ G_2$  was defined by Frucht and Harary [6] as the graph  $G$  obtained by taking one copy of  $G_1$  of order  $p_1$  and  $p_1$  copies of  $G_2$ , and then joining the  $i^{th}$  node of  $G_1$  to every node in the  $i^{th}$  copy of  $G_2$ .

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $C_p$  be a cycle with  $p \geq 3$  vertices and  $G(p_1, q_1)$  be a graph. Then the corona  $C_p \circ G$  is an edge minimal  $\Pi_{p[p_1+q_1+1]-1}^e$  - connected graph.*

**Proof.** Let  $C_p : u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p$ ,  $p \geq 3$  be any cycle and  $G(p_1, q_1)$  be any graph of order  $p_1$  and size  $q_1$ . Let  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_p$  be  $p$  copies of  $G$  in the corona  $C_p \circ G$ . Let  $E(C_p)$  be the set of edges in  $C_p$ ,  $E_i$  be the set of edges from  $u_i$  to  $G_i$  in the corona and  $E(G_i)$  be the set of edges in  $G_i$ . We first prove the corona  $C_p \circ G$  is not  $\Pi_{p[p_1+q_1+1]-2}^e$  - connected. Then there exists a set of  $p[p_1 + q_1 + 1] - 2$  edges in  $C_p \circ G$  whose edge induced subgraph is disconnected or equivalently we show the existence of a pair of edges  $e_1, e_2$  in  $C_p \circ G$  such that the subgraph induced by  $E(C_p \circ G) \setminus \{e_1, e_2\}$  is disconnected, as the total number of edges in  $C_p \circ G$  is  $p[p_1 + q_1 + 1]$ . Suppose  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  be any two edges on the cycle  $C_p$  in the corona, then clearly the subgraph induced by  $E(C_p \circ G) \setminus \{e_1, e_2\}$  is disconnected. Hence the corona  $C_p \circ G$  is not  $\Pi_{p[p_1+q_1+1]-2}^e$  - connected. Now we prove  $C_p \circ G$  is  $\Pi_{p[p_1+q_1+1]-1}^e$  - connected or equivalently we prove for every edge  $e$  in  $C_p \circ G$ , the subgraph induced by  $E(C_p \circ G) \setminus \{e\}$  is connected. Thus the following cases arise.

**Case 1.** If  $e \in G_i$  then the subgraph induced by  $E(C_p \circ G) \setminus \{e\}$  is connected, since every left out edge in  $G_i$  after removal of  $e$  is connected with  $u_i$  of  $C_p$ .

**Case 2.** If  $e \in E_i$  then the subgraph induced by  $E(C_p \circ G) \setminus \{e\}$  is connected,  $u_i$  is adjacent to all the vertices of  $G_i$ .

**Case 3.** If  $e \in C_p$  then the removal  $e$  does not disconnect  $C_p$ . Hence the subgraph induced by  $E(C_p \circ G) \setminus \{e\}$  is connected. Hence the proof.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors wish to thank Prof. E. Sampathkumar for his help and valuable suggestions in the preparation of this paper.

## References

- [1] C. Balbuena and D. Ferrero, Edge-connectivity and super edge-connectivity of  $P_2$ -path graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, 269(2003), 13-20.
- [2] E.A. Bender, P.J. Cameron, A.M. Odlyzko and L.B. Richmond, Connectedness classes and cycle index, *Probab. Comput.*, 8(1-2) (1999), 31-43.
- [3] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan, London, (1976).

- [4] B. Chaluvvaraju, M.I. Huilgol, N. Manjunath and S.S.A. Ulla, Generalized vertex induced connected subsets of a graph, (*Manuscript*).
- [5] S.A. Choudum and S. Sivagurunathan, Optimal fault-tolerant networks with a server, *Networks*, 35(2) (2000), 157-160.
- [6] R. Frucht and F. Harary, On the corona of two graphs, *Aequationes Math.*, 4(1970) 322-324.
- [7] R. Hammock, W. Imrich and S. Klavzar, *Handbook of Product Graph*, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, LLC, (2011).
- [8] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison Wesley, Reading Mass, (1969).
- [9] L. Lesniak, Results on the edge-connectivity of graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, 8(1974), 351-354.
- [10] E. Sampathkumar, *Report on UGC Emeritus Fellowship*, No.F.6-120/2000(SA), (2004).
- [11] E. Sampathkumar, Connectivity of a graph- A generalization, *J. Combinatorics, Information and System Sciences*, 9(2) (1984), 71-78.
- [12] S. Spacapan, Connectivity of cartesian products of graphs, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 21(2008), 682-685.