Best One-Sided Approximation of Entire Functions in $L_{p,w}$ Spaces
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Abstract

In this paper, we estimate the degree of best one-sided approximation of an entire unbounded function by using some discrete operator in $L_{p,w}$ weighted space ($1 \leq p < \infty$). We construct new theorems regarding by Jackson polynomials and Valee-Poussin operator of these functions.
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1 Introduction

Let $X = [0, 1]$, we denoted by $L_\infty(X)$ the set of all bounded measurable functions with usual norm [5]:

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\} \quad (1.1)$$
For $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $L_p = \{ f: f$ is bounded measurable function for which 
$$
\|f\|_p = \left( \int_0^1 |f(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \}
$$
(1.2)

Further for $\delta > 0$ and $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ the locally global norm of $f$ is defined 
$$
\|f\|_{\delta,p} = \left( \int_0^1 (\sup \{ |f(y)| : y \in [x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \frac{\delta}{2}] \})^p \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$
(1.3)

Now, let $W$ be the set of all weight functions on $X$. Consider $B_w$ the set of all functions $f$ on $X$ such that $|f(x)| \leq Mw(x)$ integrable, that is 
$$
B_w = \left\{ f: X \to R; |f(x)| \leq Mw(x) \text{ and } \|f\|_{p,w} = \left( \int_0^1 \frac{|f(x)|^p}{w(x)} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}
$$
for $\delta > 0$ and $(1 \leq p < \infty)$, the weighted locally global norm of $f \in B_w$ is defined by 
$$
\|f\|_{\delta,p,w} = \left( \int_0^1 (\sup \{ \frac{|f(y)|}{w(y)} : y \in [x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \frac{\delta}{2}] \})^p \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.
$$
(1.4)

The $k^{th}$ average modulus of smoothness for $f \in L_p$ with respect to algebraic polynomials from $L_p$ space is given by [7]: 
$$
\tau_k (f, \delta)_p = \|\omega_k (f, \cdot, \delta)\|_p \quad , \quad \delta > 0
$$
(1.5)

Where 
$$
\omega_k (f, x, \delta)_p = \sup \left\{ \Delta^k h |f(t)| : t \in \left[ x - \frac{h}{2}, x - \frac{h}{2} \right], h \leq \delta \right\}, \quad \delta > 0
$$
(1.6)

The $k^{th}$ $L_{p,w}$ modulus of smoothness for $f \in B_w$ is define by 
$$
\omega_k (f, \delta)_{p,w} = \sup \left\{ \|\Delta^k h f\|_{p,w} \right\},
$$
(1.7)

where 
$$
\Delta^k h f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} f \left( x + \frac{ih}{2} \right)
$$

Now, we shall introduce the average modulus of smoothness for $f \in B_w$. The $k^{th}$ average modulus of smoothness for $f \in B_w$ is define by 
$$
\tau_k (f, \delta)_{p,w} = \|\omega_k (f, \cdot, \delta)\|_{p,w} \quad ,
$$
(1.8)

where 
$$
\omega_k (f, \delta)_{p,w} = \sup \left\{ \left| \Delta^k h f(t) / w(t) \right| : t \in \left[ x - \frac{kh}{2}, x - \frac{kh}{2} \right], h \leq \delta \right\},
$$
(1.9)
The degree of best weighted approximation to a given function \( f \in B_w \) with trigonometric polynomials or algebraic polynomials on the interval \( X \) is given:

\[
E_n(f)_{p,w} = \inf \{ \| f - q \|_{p,w} : q \in P_n \},
\]

(1.10)

Where \( P_n \) denote the set of all trigonometric polynomials or algebraic polynomials of degree \( \leq n \).

Further, the degree of best one-sided weighted approximation of \( f \in B_w \) with respect to trigonometric polynomials or algebraic polynomials of degree \( n \) on \( X \) given by [1]:

\[
\hat{E}_n(f)_{p,w} = \min_{x \in X} \{ \| p - q \|_{p,w} : p, q \in P_n \text{ and } q(x) \leq f(x) \leq p(x) \}
\]

(1.11)

Now, let us consider the Dirichlet kernel of degree \( n \) [7]

\[
D_n(u) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \cos(ju), \ u \in R, \ n = 1, 2, 3, ...
\]

(1.12)

Let \( K_n(u) = \frac{1}{n+1} [D_0(u) + D_1(u) + ... + D_n(u)] \)

(1.13)

be Fejer kernel of degree least than or equal \( n \).

Let \( J_n(f, x) = \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(x_{k,n}) K_n(x - x_{k,n}) \)

(1.14)

where \( x_{k,n} = \frac{2k\pi}{n+1} \), \( k = 0, 1, ..., n \) be the so called Jackson polynomial of function \( f \in B_w \), and \( V_{2n}(t) = \frac{1}{n+1} [D_n(t) + D_{n+1}(t) + ... + D_{2n}(t)] \), Let

\[
x_j = \frac{2\pi j}{3n+1}, \ j = 0, 1, 2, ..., 3n.
\]

Then

\[
V_{2n,3n}(f, x) = \frac{2}{3n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{3n} f(x_j) V_{2n}(x - x_j)
\]

(1.15)

be the Valee-poussin operator.

The unique linear trigonometric polynomial which is interpolating a given function \( f \in B_w \) at the point \( x_i \) is denoted by \( I_n(t) \) which has the following representation:

\[
I_n(f, x) = \frac{2}{2n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{2n} f(x_i) \bar{D}_n(x - x_i).
\]

(1.16)
Now, let $\mathcal{B}_w$ be the set of all entire functions, since the derivative of polynomial exists everywhere, then we obtain every polynomial is an entire function, so we consider that $f \in \mathcal{B}_w$, $J_n(f) \in \mathcal{B}_w$, $V_{2n,3n}(f) \in \mathcal{B}_w$ and $I_n(f) \in \mathcal{B}_w$.

Further in (2002) Jiasong Deng [1] develop an analytic solution for the best one sided approximation of polynomial under $L_1$ norm, also (2005) Friedrich Littman [4] estimate the error of one sided approximation of function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by entire functions of exponential type $\delta > 0$ in $L_p$ space, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and in (2011) S.K. Jassim and N.J. Mohamed [3] evaluate the degree of the approximation of entire function by some discrete operators in locally global quasi-norms ($L_{\delta, p}$-space).

2 Auxiliary Theorems

In this section we introduce the results that we make use of in our lemmas.

**Lemma (1)** [3] If $f \in 2\pi$-periodic bounded measurable function, we have for

$$
0 < p \leq 1 \quad \|f - I_n(f)\|_p \leq c(p) \frac{1}{n} \|f\|_p.
$$

**Lemma (2)** [3] If $f \in 2\pi$-periodic bounded measurable function, we have for

$$
0 < p \leq 1 \quad \|f - V_{2n,3n}(f)\|_p \leq c(p, k, l) \frac{1}{n} \|f\|_p
$$

where $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, $p, k$ and $l$ are constant depends on $p$.

**Lemma (3)** [3] If $f \in 2\pi$-periodic bounded measurable function, we have then for

$$
0 < p \leq 1 \quad \|f - I_n(f)\|_p \leq c(p, k, l) \frac{1}{n} \|f\|_p
$$

where $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, $p, k$ and $l$ are constant depends on $p$.

**Lemma (4)** [6] If $f$ is bounded measurable function on $[a, b]$, then we have

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = \frac{b-a}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i)
$$

where $x_i = a + \frac{(b-a)(2i-1)}{2n}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

**Lemma (5)** [2] If $f$ is bounded measurable function, then for $(0 < p < 1)$ and $\delta > 0$, we have

$$
\|f\|_{\delta, p} = c(p) \left(1 + n\delta\right)^{1-p} \left(ns\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_p.
$$
3 Main Results:

In this section we introduce our main results

**Theorem (1):** Let \( f \in \overline{B}_w \), then for \( (1 \leq p < \infty) \). Then

\[
\tau_k(f, \frac{1}{n})_{p,w} \leq \tau_k(f, \frac{1}{n})_{\delta,p,w}
\]  
(3.1)

**Theorem (2):** Let \( f \in \overline{B}_w \), then for \( (1 \leq p < \infty) \). Then

\[
\|f - J_n(f)\|_{\delta,p,w} \leq c(p)\tau_k(f, \frac{1}{n})_{\delta,p,w}
\]  
(3.2)

**Theorem (3):** Let \( f \in \overline{B}_w \), for \( (1 \leq p < \infty) \). Then

\[
\|f - V_{2n,3n}(f)\|_{\delta,p,w} \leq c(p, k, l)\tau_k(f, \frac{1}{n})_{\delta,p,w}
\]  
(3.3)

**Theorem (4):** Let \( f \in \overline{B}_w \), for \( (1 \leq p < \infty) \). Then

\[
\|f - I_n(f)\|_{\delta,p,w} \leq c(p, k, l)\tau_k(f, \frac{1}{n})_{\delta,p,w}
\]  
(3.4)

To prove our results we need the following lemmas:

**Lemma (A):** Let \( f \in \overline{B}_w \), then for \( (1 \leq p < \infty) \) and \( \delta > 0 \).

\[
\|f\|_{p,w} \leq \|f\|_{\delta,p,w} \leq c(p)(1 + n\delta)^p\|f\|_{p,w}.
\]

**Proof:**

We have

\[
\|f\|_{p,w} = \left( \int_0^1 \left| \frac{f(x)}{w(x)} \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]

\[
\leq c(p) \left( \int_0^1 \left| \frac{f(x)}{w(x)} \right|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]

\[
= \left( \int_0^1 \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{f(x)}{w(x)} \right|^p \right\} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]

\[
= (\int_0^1 \sup \{ |f(y)/w(y)| : y \in \left[ x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \})^p dy)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]

\[
= \|f\|_{\delta,p,w}.
\]

We need to prove that \( \|f\|_{\delta,p,w} \leq c(p)(1 + n\delta)^p\|f\|_{p,w} \)
We have
\[
\|f\|_{\delta,p,w} = \left( \int_0^1 (\sup \{ |f(y)/w(y)| : y \in \left[ x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \})^p \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]

By using (2.4) we get
\[
= \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sup \left\{ |f(y)/w(y)|, y \in \left[ x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]

Suppose that \( x_i \in \left[ x - \frac{\delta}{2}, x + \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \) such that \( \frac{f(x_i)}{w(x_i)} = \sup \left\{ \frac{f(y_i)}{w(y_i)} \right\} \) and \( y_i \in \left[ x_i - \frac{\delta}{2}, x_i + \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \)

Thus
\[
\|f\|_{\delta,p,w} \leq \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n f(x_i)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n \sup \left\{ f(x_i)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\})^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{c(p)}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n f(x_i)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = c(p) \left( \int_0^1 \left| f(x) \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\]

\[
= c(p) \|f\|_{p,w} \leq c(p)(1 + n\delta)^p \|f\|_{p,w} \quad \text{for } \delta > 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq p < \infty
\]

We get
\[
\|f\|_{\delta,p,w} \leq c(p)(1 + n\delta)^p \|f\|_{p,w} \quad \Box
\]

Lemma (B): Let \( f \in \mathcal{B}_w \), then for \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and \( \delta > 0 \). Then
\[
\|f - J_n(f)\|_{p,w} \leq c(p)\tau_1 \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p,w} \quad \text{where } c \text{ is constant depends on } p.
\]

Proof:

From (2.1), we have for \( f \in L_p \|f - J_n(f)\|_p \leq c(p)\tau_1(f, \frac{1}{n})_p \)

Now, for \( f \in \mathcal{B}_w \)

\[
\|f - J_n(f)\|_{p,w} = \left\| f - J_n(f) \right\|_{p,w} \quad \text{where } w \in W
\]

From definition of \( f \in \mathcal{B}_w \) we get \( \frac{f}{w} \) is integrable and also \( J_n(\frac{f}{w}) \) integrable, we obtain \( \frac{f}{w} - J_n(\frac{f}{w}) \) is integrable.
Thus
\[
\left\| \frac{f - J(f)}{w} \right\|_p \leq c(p) \tau_1 \left( \frac{f}{w}, \frac{1}{n} \right)_p = c(p) \tau_1 \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p,w}
\]

Hence \( \| f - J_n(f) \|_{p,w} \leq c(p) \tau_1 \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p,w} \)

**Lemma (C):** Let \( f \in \mathbb{B}_w \), then for \( (1 \leq p < \infty) \) and \( \delta > 0 \), we have
\[
\| f - I_n(f) \|_{p,w} \leq c(p, k, l) \tau_k \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p,w}
\]

Prove of this lemma the same to proof of lemma (B).

We shall prove the theorems (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4) to find the degree of weighted approximation of unbounded entire function by some discrete operators in weighted spaces \( L_{p,w} \), \( (1 \leq p < \infty) \).

### 4 The Proof of Theorem (1)

We have
\[
\tau_k(f, \delta)_{p,w} = \| \omega_k(f, \delta) \|_{p,w}
\]

\[
= \left\| \sup \left\{ \left| \Delta_k \frac{f(t)}{w(t)} \right| : t, t + kh \in \left[ x - \frac{k h}{2}, x - \frac{k h}{2} \right], h \leq \delta \right\} \right\|_{p,w}
\]

\[
= \left( \int_0^1 \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i+k} \binom{k}{i} \frac{f(t + ih)}{w(t + ih)} \right. \right.

\[
\left. \left. , t, t + kh \in \left[ x - \frac{k}{2n}, x - \frac{k}{2n} \right] \right\} \right\} \frac{1}{p}
\]

\[
\leq \left( \int_0^1 \sup \left\{ \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i+k} \binom{k}{i} \frac{f(t + ih)}{w(t + ih)} \right. \right.

\[
\left. \left. , t, t + kh \in \left[ x - \frac{k}{2n}, x - \frac{k}{2n} \right] \right\} \right\} \frac{1}{p}
\]

\[
= \left\| \sup \left\{ \left| \Delta_k \frac{f(t)}{w(t)} \right| : t, t + kh \in \left[ x - \frac{k h}{2}, x - \frac{k h}{2} \right], h \leq \delta \right\} \right\|_{p,w}
\]

\[
= \| \omega_k(f, \delta) \|_{p,w} = \tau_k(f, \delta)_{p,w}
\]

We obtain (3.1) hold.
5 The Proof of Theorem (2)

From lemma (A) we obtain
\[ \| f - I_n(f) \|_{\delta,p,w} \leq c(p)(1 + n\delta)^p \| f - I_n(f) \|_{p,w}. \]

Since \( \delta > 0 \), then
\[ \| f - I_n(f) \|_{\delta,p,w} \leq c_1(p)\| f - I_n(f) \|_{p,w} \]

Now, by using lemma (B) and (3.1) respectively, we obtain
\[ c_1(p)\| f - I_n(f) \|_{p,w} \leq c_2(p)\tau_k(f, \frac{1}{n})_{p,w} \leq c_2(p)\tau_k(f, \frac{1}{n})_{\delta,p,w} \]

We obtain (3.2) hold.

6 The Proof of Theorem (3.3)

From lemma (A), we obtain
\[ \| f - V_{2n,3n}(f) \|_{\delta,p,w} \leq c(p)(1 + n\delta)^p \| f - V_{2n,3n}(f) \|_{p,w} \]

Since \( \delta > 0 \), then
\[ \| f - V_{2n,3n}(f) \|_{\delta,p,w} \leq c_1(p)\| f - V_{2n,3n}(f) \|_{p,w} \]

Also from theorem (2.2), we obtain
\[ \| f - V_{2n,3n}(f) \|_{\delta,p,w} \leq c_2(p,k,l)\tau_k(f, \frac{1}{n})_{p,w} \]

So from theorem (3.1), we have
\[ c_2(p,k,l)\tau_k(f, \frac{1}{n})_{p,w} \leq c(p,k,l)\tau_k(f, \frac{1}{n})_{\delta,p,w} \]

We obtain (3.3) hold.

7 The Proof of Theorem (3.4)

From lemma (A), we obtain
\[ \| f - I_n(f) \|_{\delta,p,w} \leq c(p)(1 + n\delta)^p \| f - I_n(f) \|_{p,w} \]
Since $\delta > 0$, then
\[
\|f - l_n(f)\|_{\delta, p,w} \leq c_1(p)\|f - l_n(f)\|_{p,w}
\]
From (2.3) and (3.1) respectively, we obtain
\[
\|f - l_n(f)\|_{\delta, p,w} \leq c(p, k, l)\tau_k \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{p,w} \leq c(p, k, l)\tau_k \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right)_{\delta, p,w}
\]
We obtain (3.4) hold.
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