



Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 21, No. 2, April 2014, pp. 87-94

ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright © ICSRS Publication, 2014

www.i-csrs.org

Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

Common Fixed Point Theorem on Compatible Mappings of Type (P)

V. Srinivas¹ and V. Naga Raju²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, College of Engineering
Osmania University, Hyderabad-500007
Andhra Pradesh, India

¹E-mail: srinivasveladi@yahoo.co.in

²E-mail: viswanag2007@gmail.com

(Received: 22-12-13 / Accepted: 29-1-14)

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem in a metric space which generalizes the result of Bijendra Singh and M.S. Chauhan using the weaker conditions such as compatible mappings of type (P) and associated sequence in place of compatibility and completeness of the metric space.

Keywords: *Fixed point, self maps, compatible mappings, compatible mappings of type (P), associated sequence.*

1 Introduction

G. Jungck [1] introduced the concept of compatible maps which is weaker than weakly commuting maps. Afterwards Jungck and Rhoades [4] defined weaker class of maps known as weakly compatible maps.

2 Definitions and Preliminaries

2.1 Compatible Mappings

Two self maps S and T of a metric space (X, d) are said to be compatible mappings if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$, whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

2.2 Compatible Mappings of Type (A)

Two self maps S and T of a metric space (X, d) are said to be compatible mappings of type (A) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(STx_n, TTx_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(TSx_n, SSx_n) = 0$ whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

2.3 Compatible Mappings of Type (B)

Two self maps S and T of a Metric Space (X, d) are said to be compatible mappings of type (B) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(STx_n, TTx_n) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(STx_n, St) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(St, SSx_n) \right] \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(TSx_n, SSx_n) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(TSx_n, Tt) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tt, TTx_n) \right] \text{ whenever}$$

$\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

2.4 Compatible Mappings of Type (P)

Two self maps S and T of a Metric Space (X, d) are said to be compatible mappings of type (P) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(SSx_n, TTx_n) = 0$, when ever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

It is clear that every compatible pair is weakly compatible but its converse need not be true.

Bijendra Singh and M.S. Chauhan [5] proved the following theorem.

2.5 Theorem: Let A, B, S and T be self mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the following conditions

$$A(X) \subseteq T(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subseteq S(X) \quad \dots\dots(2.5.1)$$

$$\text{one of } A, B, S \text{ or } T \text{ is continuous} \quad \dots\dots(2.5.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ax, By)]^2 \leq & k_1 [d(Ax, Sx)d(By, Ty) + d(By, Sx)d(Ax, Ty)] \\ & + k_2 [d(Ax, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Ty)d(By, Sx)] \end{aligned} \quad \dots\dots (2.5.3)$$

where $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, k_1, k_2 \geq 0$

$$\text{The pairs } (A, S) \text{ and } (B, T) \text{ are compatible on } X \quad \dots\dots (2.5.4)$$

Further, if X is a complete metric space then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Now, we generalize the theorem using compatible mappings of type (P) and associated sequence.

2.6 Associated Sequence

Suppose A, B, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying the condition (2.5.1). Then for an arbitrary $x_0 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$ and for this point x_1 , there exist a point x_2 in X such that $Bx_1 = Sx_2$ and so on. Proceeding in the similar manner, we can define a sequence $\langle y_n \rangle$ in X such that $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+2} = Sx_{2n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$. We shall call this sequence as an ‘‘Associated sequence of x_0 ‘‘relative to the four self maps A, B, S and T .

Now we prove a lemma which plays an important role in our main Theorem.

2.7 Lemma: Let A, B, S and T be self mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the conditions (2.5.1) and (2.5.3). Then the associated sequence $\{y_n\}$ relative to four self maps is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Proof: From the conditions (2.5.1), (2.5.3) and from the definition of associated sequence we have

$$\begin{aligned} [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})]^2 &= [d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1})]^2 \\ &\leq k_1 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(Bx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}) d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1})] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) d(Bx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

$$= k_1 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + 0] \\ + k_2 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}) + 0]$$

This implies

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \leq k_1 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + k_2 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})] \\ d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \leq h d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})$$

$$\text{where } h = \frac{k_1 + k_2}{1 - k_2} < 1$$

For every integer $p > 0$, we get

$$d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}) \\ \leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) + h^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + h^{n+p-1} d(y_0, y_1) \\ \leq (h^n + h^{n+1} + \dots + h^{n+p-1}) d(y_0, y_1) \\ \leq h^n (1 + h + h^2 + \dots + h^{p-1}) d(y_0, y_1)$$

Since $h < 1$, $h^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that $d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \rightarrow 0$. This shows that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and since X is a complete metric space, it converges to a limit, say $z \in X$.

The converse of the Lemma is not true, that is A, B, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying (2.5.1) and (2.5.3), even if for $x_0 \in X$ and for associated sequence of x_0 converges, the metric space (X, d) need not be complete. The following example establishes this.

Example: Let $X = [0, 1/2)$ with $d(x, y) = |x - y|$

Define self maps A, B, S and T of X by

$$Sx = Tx = \frac{1}{2} - x \quad \text{if } x \in [0, 1/2) \quad \text{and}$$

$$Ax = Bx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}] \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } x \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}) \end{cases}$$

Clearly $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$ and the associated sequence $Ax_0, Bx_1Ax_2, Bx_3, \dots, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \dots$ converges to the point $1/4$, but X is not a complete metric space.

Now, we generalize the above Theorem 2.5 in the following form.

3 Main Result

3.1 Theorem: Let A, B, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying the conditions

$$A(X) \subseteq T(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subseteq S(X) \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.1.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ax, By)]^2 \leq & k_1 [d(Ax, Sx)d(By, Ty) + d(By, Sx)d(Ax, Ty)] \\ & + k_2 [d(Ax, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Ty)d(By, Sx)] \end{aligned} \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.1.2)$$

for all x, y in X where $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, k_1, k_2 \geq 0$

One of A, B, S or T is continuous \dots\dots\dots(3.1.3)

The pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are compatible mappings of type-P. \dots\dots\dots(3.1.4)

The sequence $Ax_0, Bx_1Ax_2, Bx_3, \dots, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \dots$, converges to $z \in X$. \dots\dots\dots(3.1.5)

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: From the condition (3.1.5), we have

$$Ax_{2n} \rightarrow z \quad \text{and} \quad Bx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

Suppose A is continuous. Then $AAx_{2n} \rightarrow Az, ASx_{2n} \rightarrow Az$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Since (A, S) is compatible of type-P, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(AAx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}) = 0$. This gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SSx_{2n} = Az .$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SSx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} AAx_{2n} = Az .$$

Put $x = Sx_{2n}$ $y = x_{2n+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} [d(ASx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}) d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, SSx_{2n}) d(ASx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}) d(ASx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) d(Bx_{2n+1}, SSx_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, $Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Az, z)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Az, Az) d(z, z) + d(z, Az) d(Az, z)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Az, Az) d(Az, z) + d(z, z) d(z, Az)] \end{aligned}$$

$$[d(Az, z)]^2 \leq k_1 [d(Az, z)]^2$$

$$[d(Az, z)]^2 (1 - k_1) \leq 0$$

$$d(Az, z) \leq 0$$

$$d(Az, z) = 0$$

$$Az = z$$

Since $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ implies there exists $u \in X$ such that $z = Az = Tu$

To prove $Bu = z$

Put $x = x_{2n}$, $y = u$

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ax_{2n}, Bu)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d(Bu, Tu) + d(Bu, Sx_{2n}) d(Ax_{2n}, Tu)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d(Ax_{2n}, Tu) + d(Bu, Tu) d(Bu, Sx_{2n})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [d(z, Bu)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(z, z) d(Bu, z) + d(Bu, z) d(z, z)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(z, z) d(z, z) + d(Bu, z) d(Bu, z)] \end{aligned}$$

$$[d(Bu, z)]^2 \leq k_2 [d(Bu, z)]^2$$

$$(1 - k_2) [d(Bu, z)]^2 \leq 0$$

$$[d(Bu, z)] = 0$$

$$Bu = z$$

Therefore $Bu = Tu = z$

Since (B, T) is compatible of type-P and $z = Bu = Tu$, we get

$$d(BBu, TTu) = 0. \text{ This gives } d(Bz, Tz) = 0 \text{ or } Bz = Tz.$$

Since $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$, there exists $v \in V$ such that $z = Bz = Sv$.

To prove $Av = z$ put $x = v$ and $y = z$

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Av, Bz)]^2 & \leq k_1 [d(Av, Sv) d(Bz, Tz) + d(Bz, Sv) d(Av, Tz)] \\ & \quad + k_2 [d(Av, Sv) d(Av, Tz) + d(Bz, Tz) d(Bz, Sv)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Av, z)]^2 & \leq k_1 [d(Av, z) d(z, z) + d(z, z) d(Av, z)] \\ & \quad + k_2 [d(Av, z) d(Av, z) + d(z, z) d(z, z)] \end{aligned}$$

$$[d(Av, z)]^2 \leq k_2 [d(Av, z)]^2$$

$$(1 - k_2) [d(Av, z)]^2 \leq 0$$

$$[d(Av, z)] = 0$$

$$Av = z$$

Therefore $z = Av = Sv$

Since the pair (A.S) is compatible type-P and $z = Av = Sv$, we get $d(AAv.SSv) = 0$

which implies $AAv = SSv$ or $Az = Sz$.

Since $Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = z$, we get z is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T. The uniqueness of the fixed point can be easily proved.

3.2 Remark: From the example given above, clearly the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are not commutative and it can be easily verified that the mappings are not compatible, compatible of type (A), and also not compatible of type (B) but they are compatible of type (P). Also the rational inequality (3.1.2) holds for the values of $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1$, where $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$. We note that X is not a complete metric space and it is easy to prove that the associated sequence $Ax_0, Bx_1, Ax_2, Bx_3, \dots, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \dots$ converges to the point $1/4$ which is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T. Infact $1/4$ is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

References

- [1] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, 9(1986), 771-778.
- [2] R.P. Pant, A common fixed point theorem under a new condition, *Indian J. of Pure and App. Math.*, 30(2) (1999), 147-152.
- [3] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, 11(1988), 285-288.
- [4] G. Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math.*, 29(3) (1998), 227-238.
- [5] B. Singh and S. Chauhan, On common fixed points of four mappings, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 88(1998), 301-308.

- [6] A. Djoudi, A common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings of type (B) in complete metric spaces, *Demonstr. Math.*, XXXVI(2) (2003), 463-470.
- [7] V. Srinivas and R.U. Rao, A common fixed point theorem under certain conditions, *Gen. Math. Notes*, 8(2) (February) (2012), 28-33.
- [8] V. Srinivas and R.U. Rao, Common fixed point of four self maps, *International Journal of Mathematical Research*, 3(2) (2011), 113-118.