



*Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 27, No. 2, April 2015, pp. 47-58*

*ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright © ICSRS Publication, 2015*

*www.i-csrs.org*

*Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>*

# Some New Sequence Spaces Defined by Musielak-Orlicz Functions on a Real $n$ -Normed Space

Tanweer Jalal<sup>1</sup> and Mobin Ahmad Sayed<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology  
Hazratbal, Srinagar- 190006, India

E-mail: [tjalal@rediffmail.com](mailto:tjalal@rediffmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science  
Jazan University, P.O. Box 2097, Jazan 45142, Saudi Arabia

E-mail: [profmobin@yahoo.com](mailto:profmobin@yahoo.com)

(Received: 23-8-14 / Accepted: 14-3-15)

## Abstract

*The purpose of this paper is to introduce the sequence space  $E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  defined by using an infinite matrix and Musielak-Orlicz function. We also study some topological properties and prove some inclusion relations involving this space.*

**Keywords:** *Paranorm, Infinite matrix,  $n$ -norm, Musielak-Orlicz functions, Euler transform.*

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

The concept of 2-normed spaces was initially developed by Gähler [1] in the mid-1960s, while one can see that of  $n$ -normed spaces in Misiak [2]. Since then, many others have studied this concept and obtained various results; see Gunawan [3, 4]

and Gunawan and Mashadi [5]. Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer and  $X$  be a real vector space of dimension  $d$ , where  $d \geq n \geq 2$ . A real-valued function  $\| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|$  on  $X^n$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $\| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \| = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent.
- (2)  $\| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|$  is invariant under permutation,
- (3)  $\| \alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \| = |\alpha| \| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|$ , for any  $\alpha \in R$ ,
- (4)  $\| (x_1 + \bar{x}, x_2, \dots, x_n) \| \leq \| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \| + \| (\bar{x}, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|$

is called an  $n$ -norm on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, \| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|)$  is called an  $n$ -normed space.

A trivial example of an  $n$ -normed space is  $X = R^n$ , equipped with the Euclidean  $n$ -norm  $\| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|_E = \text{volume of the } n\text{-dimensional parallelepiped spanned by the vectors } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  which may be given explicitly by the formula

$$\| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|_E = | \det (x_{ij}) | = \text{abs}(\det (\langle x_i, x_j \rangle)) \quad (1)$$

where  $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in R^n$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ . Let  $(X, \| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|)$  be an  $n$ -normed space of dimension  $d \geq n \geq 2$  and  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  be a linearly independent set in  $X$ . Then the function  $\| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|_\infty$  on  $X^{n-1}$  is defined by

$$\| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{ \| x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_i \| \} \quad (2)$$

defines an  $(n-1)$ -norm on  $X$  with respect to  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  and this is known as the derived  $(n-1)$ -norm.

The standard  $n$ -norm on  $X$  a real inner product space of dimension  $d \geq n$  is as follows:

$$\| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|_s = [ \det (\langle x_i, x_j \rangle) ]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product on  $X$ . If we take  $X = R^n$  then this  $n$ -norm is exactly the same as the Euclidean  $n$ -norm  $\| (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \|_E$

mentioned earlier. For  $n = 1$  this  $n$ -norm is the usual norm  $\|x_1\| = \sqrt{\langle x_1, x_1 \rangle}$  for further details (see Gunawan [4]).

We first introduce the following definitions:

A sequence  $(x_k)$  in an  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to be convergent to some  $L \in X$  if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - L, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0, \text{ for every } z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X. \quad (3)$$

A sequence  $(x_k)$  in an  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to be Cauchy if

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ p \rightarrow \infty}} \|x_k - x_p, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0, \text{ for every } z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X. \quad (4)$$

If every Cauchy sequence space in  $X$  converges to some  $L \in X$ , then  $X$  is said to be complete with respect to the  $n$ -norm. A complete  $n$ -normed space is said to be a  $n$ -Banach space.

An Orlicz function is a function  $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with  $M(0) = 0, M(x) > 0$  as  $x > 0$  a  $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [6] studied some Orlicz type sequence spaces defined as follows:

$$\ell_M = \left\{ (x_k) \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}. \quad (5)$$

The space  $\ell_M$  with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\} \quad (6)$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. The space  $\ell_M$  is closely related to the space  $\ell_p$  which is an Orlicz sequence space with  $M(t) = |t|^p$ , for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . An Orlicz function  $M$  is said to satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all values of  $u$ , if there exists a constant  $K$  such that  $M(2u) \leq K M(u), u \geq 0$  (see [7]).

A sequence space  $M = (M_k)$  of Orlicz functions is called a Musielak-Orlicz function see ([8], [9]). A sequence space  $N = (N_k)$  defined by

$$N_k(v) = \sup \{ |v|u - M_k(u) : u \geq 0 \}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$

is called the complimentary function of a Musielak-Orlicz function  $M$ . For a given Musielak-Orlicz function  $M$ , the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space function  $t_M$  and its subspace  $h_M$  are defined as follows

$$t_M = \{ x \in w : I_M(c x) < \infty \text{ for some } c > 0 \}, \quad (8)$$

$$h_M = \{ x \in w : I_M(c x) < \infty \text{ for all } c > 0 \},$$

where  $I_M$  is a convex modular defined by

$$I_M(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(x_k), \quad x = (x_k) \in t_M. \quad (9)$$

We consider  $t_M$  equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : I_M\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \leq 1 \right\} \quad (10)$$

or equipped with the Orlicz norm

$$\|x\|^0 = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{k} (1 + I_M(kx)) : k > 0 \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Let  $X$  be a linear metric space. A function  $p : X \rightarrow R$  is called a paranorm, if

- (1)  $p(x) \geq 0$ , for all  $x \in X$ ;
- (2)  $p(-x) = p(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ ;
- (3)  $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (4) If  $(\sigma_n)$  is a sequence of scalars with  $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(x_n)$  is a sequence of vectors with  $p(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $p(\sigma_n x_n - \sigma x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

A paranorm  $p$  for which  $p(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$  is called total paranorm, and the pair  $(X, p)$  is called a total paranormed space. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (see [10],

Theorem 10. 4.2, P-183). For more details about sequence spaces see [11-24] and the references therein.

Let  $(s_k)$  denotes the sequence of partial sums of the infinite series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$  and  $q$  be any positive real number. The Euler transform  $(E, q)$  of the sequence  $s = (s_n)$  is defined by

$$E_n^q(s) = \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{v=0}^n \binom{n}{v} q^{n-v} s_v. \tag{12}$$

The series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be summable  $(E, q)$  to the number  $s$  if

$$E_n^q(s) = \frac{1}{(1+q)^n} \sum_{v=0}^n \binom{n}{v} q^{n-v} s_v \rightarrow s \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{13}$$

and is said to be absolutely summable  $(E, q)$  or summable  $|E, q|$  if

$$\sum_k |E_k^q(s) - E_{k-1}^q(s)| < \infty. \tag{14}$$

Let  $x = (x_k)$  be a sequence of scalars we write  $N_n(x) = E_n^q(x) - E_{n-1}^q(x)$ , where  $E_n^q(x)$  is defined by (12). After applications of Abel's transformation, we have

$$N_n(x) = -\frac{1}{(1+q)^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} x_{k+1} A_k + \frac{s_{n-1} A_{n-1}}{(1+q)^{n-1}} + \frac{s_n}{(1+q)^n} - \frac{q^{n-1}}{(1+q)^n} s_0, \tag{15}$$

where

$$A_k = \sum_{i=0}^k \left[ \frac{q}{1+q} \binom{n}{i} - \binom{n-1}{i} \right] q^{n-i-1}. \tag{16}$$

Note that for any sequences  $x = (x_n), y = (y_n)$  and scalar  $\lambda$ , we have

$$N_n(x + y) = N_n(x) + N_n(y) \text{ and } N_n(\lambda x) = \lambda N_n(x).$$

Let  $M = (M_k)$  be a sequence of Musielak-Orlicz functions,  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers, " $B = b_{nk}$ " be an infinite matrix, and  $(X, \| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|)$  be an  $n$ -normed space, we define the sequence space:

$$E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty, s \geq 0, \\ \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and for every } z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \end{array} \right\}. \quad (17)$$

If we take  $p = p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in N$ , we have

$$E_n^q(B, M, s, \| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right] < \infty, s \geq 0, \\ \text{for some } \rho > 0, \text{ and for every } z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \end{array} \right\}. \quad (18)$$

If we take  $s = 0$ , we have

$$E_n^q(B, M, p, \| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty, \\ \text{for some } \rho > 0, \text{ and for every } z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \end{array} \right\}. \quad (19)$$

The following well known inequality will be used throughout the article. Let  $p = (p_k)$  be any sequence of positive real numbers with  $0 \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H$ ,  $D = \max\{1, 2^{H-1}\}$  then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq D \left( |a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k} \right) \quad (20)$$

for all  $k \in N$  and  $a_k, b_k \in C$ . Also  $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max\{1, |a|^H\}$  for all  $a \in C$  (see [25]).

The main object of the paper is to examine some topological properties and inclusion relations between the above defined sequence spaces.

## 2 Some Properties of the Sequence Space

$$E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\dots, \cdot\|)$$

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $M=(M_k)$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function and  $p=(p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers, then the space  $E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\dots, \cdot\|)$  is linear over the real field.

**Proof:** Let  $x, y \in E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\dots, \cdot\|)$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{R}$  (the set of real numbers). Then there exists numbers  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho_1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(y)}{\rho_2}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty. \quad (21)$$

Define  $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$ .

Since  $M=(M_k)$  is non-decreasing, convex and so by using inequality (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(\alpha x + \beta y)}{\rho_3}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(\alpha x)}{\rho_3}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) + \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(\beta y)}{\rho_3}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho_3}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + \\ & D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(y)}{\rho_3}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\alpha x + \beta y \in E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

Hence,  $E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a linear space.

**Theorem 2.2:** Let  $M = (M_k)$  be a sequence of Musielak-Orlicz functions,  $p = (p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. Then the space  $E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a paranormed space with the paranorm defined by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, n=1,2,3,\dots \right\}, \quad (23)$$

where  $H = \max \left( 1, \sup_k p_k \right)$ .

**Proof:** It is clear that  $g(x) = g(-x)$  and  $g(x+y) \leq g(x) + g(y)$ . Since  $M_k(0) = 0$ , we get  $\inf \{\rho^{p_n/H}\} = 0$  for  $x=0$ . Finally, we prove that multiplication is continuous. Let  $\lambda \neq 0$  be any complex number, then by definition, we have

$$g(\lambda x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{\lambda N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, n=1,2,3,\dots \right\}. \quad (24)$$

Thus, we have

$$g(\lambda x) = \inf \left\{ (|\lambda|s)^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{s}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, n=1,2,3,\dots \right\}, \quad (25)$$

where  $s = \frac{\rho}{|\lambda|}$ . Since  $|\lambda|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^H)$ , we have



$$g(\lambda x) \leq \left( \max(1, |\lambda|^H) \right)^{1/H} \inf \left\{ (s)^{p_n/H} : \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1, n=1,2,3,\dots \right\}, \tag{26}$$

and therefore,  $g(\lambda x)$  converges to zero when  $g(x)$  converges to zero in  $E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Now, suppose that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x$  is in  $E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . For arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $n_0$  be a positive integer such that

$$\sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{27}$$

for some  $\rho > 0$ . This implies that

$$\left( \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{28}$$

Let  $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ , then using convexity of  $(M_k)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{\lambda N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & < |\lambda| \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^H. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Since  $(M_k)$  is continuous everywhere on  $[0, \infty)$ , then

$$h(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{t N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \tag{30}$$

is continuous at 0. So there is  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $|h(t)| < \varepsilon/2$  for  $0 < t < \delta$ . Let  $K$  be such that  $|\lambda_n| < \delta$  for  $n > K$ , we have

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_0} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{\lambda_n N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{31}$$

Thus,

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{\lambda_n N_k(x)}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } n > k. \quad (32)$$

Hence  $g(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 2.3:** If  $M' = (M'_k)$  and  $M'' = (M''_k)$  are two sequences of Musielak-Orlicz functions and  $s, s_1, s_2$  are nonnegative real numbers, then

- (i)  $E_n^q(B, M', p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap E_n^q(B, M'', p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$   
 $\subseteq E_n^q(B, M' + M'', p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .
- (ii) If  $s_1 \leq s_2$ , then  $E_n^q(B, M', p, s_1, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq E_n^q(B, M', p, s_2, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

**Proof:** It is obvious, so we omit the details.

**Theorem 2.4:** Suppose that  $0 < r_k \leq p_k < \infty$ , for each  $k \in N$ . Then

$$E_n^q(B, M, r, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$$

**Proof:** Let  $x \in E_n^q(B, M, r, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ . Then there exists some  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho_1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{r_k} < \infty. \quad (33)$$

this implies that,  $M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho_1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \leq 1$  for sufficiently large value of  $k$ , say  $k \geq k_0$ , for some fixed  $k_0 \in N$ . Since  $(M_k)$  is non decreasing, we get

$$\sum_{k \geq k_0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho_1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq$$

$$\sum_{k \geq k_0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{nk}}{k^s} \left[ M_k \left( \left\| \frac{N_k(x)}{\rho_1}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{r_k} < \infty. \quad (34)$$

Hence  $x \in E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ .

**Theorem 2.5:**

- (i) If  $0 < p_k \leq 1$  for each  $k$ , then  

$$E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq E_n^q(B, M, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$$
- (ii) If  $p_k \geq 1$  for all  $k$ , then  $E_n^q(B, M, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq E_n^q(B, M, p, s, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|).$

**Proof:** It is easy to prove by using Theorem 2.4, so we omit the details.

**Acknowledgements:** The Authors expresses his heartfelt gratitude to the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions which have enormously enhanced the quality and improved the presentation of the paper.

**References**

- [1] S. Gähler, Lineare 2-normierte Räume, *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 28(1965), 1-43.
- [2] A. Misiak, n-Inner product spaces, *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 140(1989), 299-319.
- [3] H. Gunawan, On n-inner products, n-norms and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, *Scientiae Math. Japonicae*, 5(2001), 47-54.
- [4] H. Gunawan, The space of p-summable sequences and its natural n-norm, *Bull. of the Aust. Math. Soc.*, 64(1) (2001), 137-147.
- [5] H. Gunawan and M. Mashadi, On n-normed spaces, *Int. J. of Math. and Math. Sci.*, 27(10) (2001), 631-639.
- [6] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, 10(1971), 379-390.
- [7] M.A. Krasnoselskii and Y.B. Rutitsky, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, The Netherlands, (1961).
- [8] L. Maligranda, Orlicz spaces and interpolation, *Seminários de Matemática*, Polish Academy of Science, Warszawa, Poland, 5(1989).
- [9] J. Musielak, Orlicz spaces and modular spaces, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1034(1983).
- [10] A. Wilansky, Summability through functional analysis, *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherland, (1984).
- [11] F. Basar, *Summability Theory and Its Applications*, Monographs, Bentham Science Publishers, E-Books, Istanbul, Turkey, (2012).
- [12] F. Başar, B. Altay and M. Mursaleen, Some generalizations of the space  $bv(p)$  of  $p$ -bounded variation sequences, *Non. Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications A*, 68(2) (2008), 273-287.
- [13] C. Belen and S.A. Mohiuddine, Generalized weighted statistical convergence and application, *Appl. Math. and Comp.*, 219(18) (2013), 9821-9826.

- [14] T. Bilgin, Some new difference sequences spaces defined by an Orlicz function, *Filomat*, 17(2003), 1-8.
- [15] N.L. Braha and M. Et, The sequence space  $E_n^q(M, p, s)$  and  $N_k$ -lacunary statistical convergence, *Banach J. of Math. Analysis*, 7(1) (2013), 88-96.
- [16] R. Çolak, B.C. Tripathy and M. Et, Lacunary strongly summable sequences and  $q$ -lacunary almost statistical convergence, *Vietnam J. Math.*, 34(2) (2006), 129-138.
- [17] A.M. Jarrah and E. Malkowsky, The space  $bv(p)$  its  $\beta$ -dual and matrix transformations, *Collectanea Math.*, 55(2) (2004), 151-162.
- [18] I.J. Maddox, Statistical convergence in a locally convex space, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 104(1) (1988), 141-145.
- [19] M. Mursaleen, Generalized spaces of difference sequences, *Journal of Math. Anal. App.*, 203(3) (1996), 738-745.
- [20] M. Mursaleen, Matrix transformations between some new sequence spaces, *Houston J. of Math.*, 9(4) (1983), 505-509.
- [21] M. Mursaleen, On some new invariant matrix methods of summability, *The Quar. J. of Math.*, 34(133) (1983), 77-86.
- [22] K. Raj and S.K. Sharma, Some sequence spaces in 2-normed spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function, *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae. Math.*, 3(1) (2011), 97-109.
- [23] K. Raj and S.K. Sharma, Some generalized difference double sequence spaces defined by a sequence of Orlicz-functions, *Cubo*, 14(3) (2012), 167-189.
- [24] K. Raj and S.K. Sharma, Some multiplier sequence spaces defined by a Musielak-Orlicz function in  $n$ -normed spaces, *New Zealand J. of Math.*, 42(2012), 45-56.
- [25] I.J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge University Cambridge, Cambridge, London and New York, (1970).